EXHIBIT 103

Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 928-106 Filed 04/19/23 Page 2 of 3 CONFIDENTIAL

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT,
	JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER
6	CASTILLO, and MONIQUE
	TRUJILLO, individually and on
7	behalf of all other similarly
	situated,
8	
	Plaintiffs,
9	No.
	vs. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK-SVK
10	
	GOOGLE LLC,
11	
	Defendant.
12	/
13	
14	CONFIDENTIAL
15	
16	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF RAMIN HALAVATI
17	Remote Zoom Proceedings
18	Zurich, Switzerland
19	Tuesday, January 18, 2022
20	
21	
22	
23	REPORTED BY:
24	LESLIE ROCKWOOD ROSAS, RPR, CSR 3462
25	Pages 1 - 238 Job No. 5035959
	Page 1

Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 928-106 Filed 04/19/23 Page 3 of 3 CONFIDENTIAL

1	Do you see that?	
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. And when you said "it's quite different from	
4	Safari's private mode," you were talking about Chrome's	
5	private mode; correct? 10:44:20	
6	A. Yes. Chrome's Incognito versus Safari's private	
7	mode.	
8	Q. And how is Chrome Incognito mode quite different	
9	from Safari's mode?	
10	A. In Chrome, we consider all tabs in one window in 10:44:33	
11	a common session, but in Safari, they consider each tab a	
12	separate, isolated session.	
13	Q. And does isolation per tab improve tracking	
14	protection?	
15	MR. ANSORGE: Objection. Vague. 10:44:52	
16	THE WITNESS: Yes. It can result in more	
17	privacy, but less functionality.	
18	Q. BY MR. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON: Does the current	
19	implementation of Chrome Incognito mode create an	
20	off-the-record profile on top of the existing profile?	
21	A. Yes.	
<mark>22</mark>	Q. And has that been the way that Chrome Incognito	
23	mode functions going all the way back to when you started	
24	in August 2016?	
<mark>25</mark>	A. Yes.	
	Page 113	